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Introduction and Background

This study is part of InterPARES Trust (IP Trust) project, a multi-disciplinary and multinational research project that explores issues concerning digital records entrusted to the Internet worldwide.

The Botswana Team research focus is on Implementation of enterprise-wide systems to manage trustworthy digital records in Botswana’s public sector. Currently, Phase one, two and three of the study have been completed. These include the legal analysis, literature review and annotated bibliography, baseline survey. The next stage will involve undertaking an in-depth-survey of institutions that have ECMS to determine how well they have integrated those systems within their IT environments. The study literature review, annotated bibliography, legal analysis, survey have been done in the previous phases (Phase1-3) of the study. In-depth survey is Phase 4 of the project which has just been completed.
Phase 1: Literature Review
A review of the literature on enterprise-wide systems and ECMs in Botswana’s public service was done to discern any cloud-based cases. The bibliography covers over 50 published articles to examined the state of enterprise-wide systems and ECM applications in the Botswana public service; to determine their relationship (if any) to existing archives and records management (ARM) practices; and to contextualize these enterprise-wide systems and ECM applications with acknowledged ARM challenges in Botswana and Africa.

Phase 2: Annotated Bibliography
The annotated bibliography of the Botswana study thematically covers:

a) Policies and regulatory framework for the management and preservation of records in Africa

b) Policies and regulatory framework for the management and preservation of records in Botswana

c) Implementation of enterprise wide systems to manage digital records in Botswana’s public sector.

Phase 3: Legal Analysis
The study has revealed that Botswana still lacks behind in the promulgation of legislation that guide the implementation of enterprise wide systems in the Botswana public sector with regards to the issue of trustworthiness. Data protection and Freedom of Information legislations have not yet been enacted. Legislation recognizing electronic records as evidence and in e-commerce transactions is in place in the form of the Electronic Communications and Transactions Act, which gives electronic signatures the legal equivalence to the handwritten signatures and is meant to promote a technology-neutral legal framework for the creation of e-signatures. It also gives legal recognition to certificates created or issues locally or externally. In addition, the Electronic Records (Evidence) Act provides for the admissibility of electronic records as evidence in legal proceedings and authentication of electronic records. There is still need to amend existing legislation such as the National Archives and Records Services Act and the Cybercrime and Computer Related Crimes Act to accommodate the management of electronic records in the country.

Phase 4: Baseline on ECM Implementation in Botswana
Phase 4 of the Project sought to collect baseline data of institutions which actually have ECMs within Botswana. The institutions targeted include public sector, private sector and quasi-government. Botswana is currently grappling with ICTs that manage records. Digital records may be generated within ECMs, managed by ECMs optimized for recordkeeping, or may require intervention both to identify records as records and to place them in an environment where they can be managed (such as an Electronic Document and Records Management System (EDRMS). Additionally, some organizations may utilize less specific enterprise wide systems that perform many of the functions of dedicated ECMs. This baseline survey explores the implementation of enterprise-wide systems to manage trustworthy digital records in Botswana’s public sector and specifically sought to.
1. To examine the state of enterprise-wide systems and ECM applications in the Botswana public service.
2. To determine their relationship (if any) to existing archives and records management (ARM) practices.
3. To contextualize these enterprise-wide systems and ECM applications and discern the current and future capabilities of using cloud computing.

**Methodology**

The study adopted a survey research design. It was a cross-sectional study undertaken in May 2017. An online web survey was distributed to 50 potential respondents and 31 responded. An in-depth survey of public sector institutions was done through a Survey Monkey which enabled easy data analysis and presentation of results and reports. A baseline data of institutions which have actually implemented ECMS within Botswana was created. The institutions targeted included public sector institutions, specifically government and quasi-government institutions and the private sector. Below is a presentation of the findings of the Botswana InterPARES project survey on enterprise-wide systems and ECMS in Botswana’s public service which attempted to discern whether these instances are cloud based.

**Results of the Study**

This section presents the results of the study. The team has created a baseline data of institutions which actually have ECMS within Botswana. The institutions targeted include public sector, private sector and quasi-government. This section presents the findings focusing on the following:

1. **Institutional background information**
   
   (a) **Type of institution**

   As shown in Figure 1, of the 31 respondents, most 10 (32.6%) indicated that they were from the Public Sector (Executive Branch: Social), followed by 7 (22.58%) from Public Sector (Parastatals/State-owned Enterprises/ Independent Offices/Commissions/ Institutions based on Chapters 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Constitution), 7 (22.58%) from Public Sector (Executive Branch: Economics / Infrastructure), 3(9.68%) from Public Sector –(Executive Branch: Environment / Natural resources), 3(9.68%) from the Private sector while only 1(3.23%) indicated they were from the Public Sector (Executive Branch: Leadership). There were no respondents from Voluntary sector - (i.e. Non-governmental organizations and not-for-profit institutions, Public Sector – Executive Branch: External affairs, Public Sector – Judiciary Branch and Public Sector – Legislature Branch.
(b) Scope of Institution Mandate

The institutions were asked to characterize the scope their mandate. Only 3(10.34%) indicated that their activities were limited to a particular District while most of the respondents 26(89.66%) indicated that their activities took place across the nation. 2 respondents did not respond.
Figure 2 indicates that most of the respondents 14(45.16%) were Records/Archives professionals, followed by 2(6.45%) Accounting/Finance, 2(6.45%) Administration, 2(6.45%) Human Resource, 2(6.45%) Operations (specific to the core business of the institution), 2 (6.45%) were from Technical Services while 1(3.23%) were from Information Technology and 1(3.23%) came from the Executive/senior management. None of the respondents indicated they belonged to Audit, Communication/Public Relations, Legal Affairs, Marketing/ Sales, Policy Development and Planning, Research, Risk and Compliance Management sections/Departments. 3 (9.68%) chose none of the above stated departments.
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**Figure 2: Location of the Respondents**

2. Functional Areas Covered by ERP Systems

Many institutions have implemented transactional systems such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems. These systems are business applications that integrate the management of core business processes. The respondents were asked which functional areas are covered by the transactional system in their institution. Results indicate that Accounting was chosen by most of the respondents 17(54.84%) as a functional area covered ERP systems in their institutions, followed by Human Resources 16(51.61%), Inventory or stock management 11(35.48%), Supply chain management 8(25.81%) operations 6(19.35%), Data services, 5(16.13%), Order Processing and Project management Marketing and sales stood at 3(9.68%) each while 2(6.45%) chose Customer relationship management, another 2(6.45%) chose Marketing and sales. 1(3.23%) indicated Corporate services and another 1(3.23%) indicated Manufacturing. 3(9.68%) of the respondents indicated that they did not know which functional areas where covered by ERPs in their institutions while 2(6.45%) indicated none. The details are shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3: Functional Areas Covered by ERP Systems

3. Companies Supplying ERP Systems

The respondents were also asked which company supplies the transactional system such as the ERP to their institution. Most of the respondents 9 (29.03%) of the respondents indicated Oracle E-Business Suite as their ERP supplier, 8(25.81%) indicated that they did not know which companies supplied their institutions with ERPs. 7 (22.58%) indicated Sage Group X3 as the supplier, 6(19.35%) Microsoft Dynamics, 4(12.90%) SAP Business, 2(6.45%) indicated Oracle Fusion. IFS Applications, Oracle Hyperion, Oracle Siebel, Syspro, IBM Maximo, Baan were chosen by 1(3.23%) each of them. 4(12.90%) of the respondents indicated none of the suppliers while only 1(3.23%) indicated other not on the list. Figure 4 illustrates this.
Figure 4: Companies Supplying ERP Systems

Companies Supplying ECM System

The respondents were also asked which company supplies the ECM to their institution. Figure 5 shows that most of the respondents 12(42.86%) indicated none of the companies listed as the suppliers ECM in their institutions. 7 (25.00%) indicated that Microsoft (SharePoint/Office 365) supplied them with ECM, 3(10.71%) indicated IBM (Content Foundation/Manager aka Filenet), 2(7.14%) chose HP(Trim/Records Manager). 1(3.57%) indicated Lexmark Enterprise Software (aka perspective Software) while the other 1(3.57%) indicated Del EMC (Documentum). 4(14.29%) of the respondents indicated that they did not know which company supplied ECM at their institutions. 1(3.57%) indicated other not on the list.
Integration of ERP & ECM Systems

ECM systems often have different modules performing different activities. As well, there are some instances where institutions have integrated their transactional systems such as ERP systems with their ECM systems. This implies that institution could have the different modules to choose that would be implemented. In addition, an institution could have both an ECM system and transactional systems such as an ERP system, and may have significant integration. As shown in Figure 6, there was either no or less integration of the various modules of the ECM. In order, the records management module was not integrated at all, followed by the documents management and digital assets management modules, then scanning and web content modules. Full integration was greater with the workflow management module.
Deployment of Cloud Computing

The study assumes that increasingly many institutions around the world are employing cloud computing which is internet based computing that provides shared computer processing resources and data to computers and other devices on demand. In that regards, the institution ideally will use cloud computing to manage its information assets. The respondents were thus asked to indicate the most important reason why they would use cloud computing.

As presented in Figure 7, out of the 29 respondents who answered this question, most of them 17 (58.62%) indicated that they did not use cloud-computing to manage their assets, 5 (17.24%) of the respondents indicated that they use cloud-computing in order to increase organizational performance, 2 (6.90%) showed that they use cloud computing to increase system performance and another 2 (6.90%) indicated that they use cloud computing to increase storage capacity. 1 (3.45%) used cloud computing to drive business process transformation, 1 (3.45%) to improve security while the other 1 (3.45%) indicated that they used they don’t whether their institutions
used cloud computing or not. None of the respondents indicated that whether they used cloud computing for collaboration, keep pace with the industry or reduce costs. 2 of the respondents did not answer this question.
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**Figure 7: Cloud Computing Usage and Reasons**

**Cloud Service Models Adopted**

The institutions that used cloud computing services were asked to indicate the service models are used in their institutions. Figure 8 shows that 3 (11.11%) of the respondents indicated that used hybrid cloud, 3 (11.11%) private cloud, and the other 3 (11.11%) indicated they used public cloud. Most of the respondents 16 (59.26%) indicated that they used none of the service models outlined. Only 1 (3.7%) showed that they didn't know which cloud service their institution used while the other 1 (3.7%) indicated that they used a combination of two service models. None of the respondents indicated whether they used a combination of three service models or a combination of all four service models. 4 of the respondents skipped this question.
Deployment Models Used

The institutions that used cloud computing services were asked to indicate which deployment models are used for supporting cloud computing in their institutions. Most of the respondents 18(60%) indicated that they used none of the cloud computing deployment models. 8(26.67%) indicated that they used Software as a Service (SaaS), 1(3.33%) showed that they used Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) while the other 1(3.33%) chose Platform as a Service (PaaS). 2 (6.67%) indicated that they did not know which deployment models were used at their institutions. 1 respondent skipped the question.

Figure 9: Deployment Models Used